



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,318	08/05/2003	Mark A. Wolfe	324	7863

29757 7590 11/16/2006

MARK A. WOLFE
1076 TAMBERWOOD COURT
WOODBURY, MN 55125

EXAMINER

BLACK, LINH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2163

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



/Control Number: 10/634,318

63

Page 2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

November 7, 2006

Mark A. Wolfe
1076 Tamberwood Court
Woodbury, MN 55125
(651) 578-7197

**SUBJECT: - Requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 for Application
10/634,318**

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

The information is required to be determined and to enter in the record whether the proposed IDS document qualifies as Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103. The section 35 U.S.C. 102(b) states that a patent is not granted if "the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States." The section 35 U.S.C. 103(a) states that "(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made."


In the Information Disclosure Statement dated 09/5/2006, Applicant submitted hundreds of patents and non-patent literature documents, which exhaust Examiner's attention to best focus on the examinations of the relevant art provided and the new claims provided (claims 54-99).

Applicants are requested to provide the top most relevant 20 non-patent literature documents and the same for patents.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.105 that are included in the Applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97.

The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 C.F.R. 1.56. Where the Applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is subject to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.134, 1.135, and 1.136, and has a shortened statutory period of ONE (1) MONTH. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).


DON WONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100